UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,047	01/14/2004	Heinrich Kladders	1/1449	4842
28501 MICHAEL P. N	7590 12/11/200 MORRIS	7	EXAMINER	
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION			ALI, SHUMAYA B	
P. O. BOX 368	GEBURY ROAD X 368		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RIDGEFIELD,	CT 06877-0368		3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## ' Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/757,047	KLADDERS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Shumaya B. Ali	3771

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 06 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the infarrejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the</li> </ul>
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🗌 will not be entered, or b) 🖾 will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. Other:
Link
INCLINED VII

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

per No. 20071205

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Examiner clearly understands and gives weight to "excluded" as recited in the claims. In the remark filed on 11/6/07, page 3, lines 3 and 4 Applicant argues Valentini does not fall with the exclusion to the condition of symmetry as claimed because Valentini does not have a taper up to 5 degrees. Applicant's attention is invited to claimed recitation following "excluded". In the case of claims 1,16, and 17 Valentini has to meet only "one" of the excluded factors as claimed since claims recite "and/or". When two parts of Valentini's capsule comes into closure the open edge of each part overlaps creating a slight elevation on first part of the capsule by the second part and a slight elevation inside the second part of the capsule by the first part. Since claimed recitation of "elements" is broad, Examiner considers said elevations and holes on second part as "elements formed on the capsule surface which are smaller than 0.1 mm", Thus, Valentini meets at least one of the "excluded" requirement for symmetry as claimed.

Applicant further argues "each capsule part [of Valentini] is different size" (see page 3, lines 16), thus not symmetrical with respect to a transverse plane. This argument however is not well taken. Applicant's figure 8 shows a capsule where two parts are different size, however, Applicant considers the capsule symmetrical with respect to a transverse plane since elevation (41) reads on one of the claimed "excluded" features. Thus Valentini's capsule as well is considered symmetrical with respect to the transverse plane for meeting at least one of the claimed "excluded" features.

For the above stated reasoning, finality of the previous office action is maintained. Argument filed on 11/6/07 is entered and will be available to the Board of Appeal.